

**July 2008
(from IASWR)**

Evaluation of Workshop

Workshop Participants

Doctoral Student	5
Post Doc	
Asst. Prof	7
Senior Faculty	3
Non-Academic Researcher	1

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree*
Workshop agenda was well designed	10	5			1
Speaker was well prepared	11	4			1
Workshop met expectations	8	6			1
Program made efficient use of time	7	5			1

* Believe this outlier was due to respondent choosing wrong option since all her other responses were positive including the comment 'very practical!'

		A little too fast	Just Right	A little too slow	Too slow
Pace of the agenda was		1	12	2	

Average Rating (1 being low and 5 being high)

Were the workshop objectives met: to learn to conceptualize the research question.	3.94
Were the workshop objectives met: to learn how to design and conduct the qualitative component of a mixed method research project.	4.00
Were the workshop objectives met: to develop supportive network of social work researchers	3.31

What were the most valuable aspects of this workshop?

The hands-on examples on Atlas-ti. The actual studies used to illustrate the concepts
 Lessons learned from doing mixed methods research. Hands on with computer program.
 Mixed methods overview, using Atlas
 Hands-on application of Atlas-ti, coding. Survey system demonstration
 Framework for mixing method with examples (Lisa was great). Hands-on intro to Atlas
 Learning about Atlas, differences among grounded theory, thematic and narrative analysis
 Combining the explanation of qualitative research alongside the researcher strategies/techniques using software
 orientation to using Atlas.ti relative to qualitative design
 Atlas tips and strategies. Clear coverage of thematic, grounded and mixed methods presentation.
 Practical examples tied to theory
 Different approaches of qualitative researcher
 Learning about Atlas
 Integration of real data and examples. Great presentation style.
 Atlas.ti demonstrations, 2nd day conversations on qual research , audio recording using laptop
 The clarity of the presentations. Atlast ti and other technology recommendations.
 Introduction of software new to me and exploration of difference of qual vs. quan.

What additional technical assistance would be helpful

Higher level training for analysis (vs. management) in Atlas. Application of mixed methods to another research area would have been helpful for our own ?s, share and improve. Instrument development is a helpful illustration but would like applied

access to someone to answer future questions (He gave suggestions of contacts!)

short-cuts identified, written on handout for later reference

More practical experience with software

Do you have any additional comments

Very practical

I recommend integrating Lisa dissertation into general presentation, especially the flow chart.

For a qualitative research seminar, a discussion of [illegible] participants' involvement in design, from feminist perspective would be stimulating.

Jerry and Lisa were great presenters - very knowledgeable and approachable. Would love additional training opportunities in mixed methods research. Thank you IASWR for the additional reading and resources.

Jerry was an engaging speaker. Tues am presentation was less meaningful and helpful.

PPT presentation too long, 1st part helpful, 2nd part less interesting.

Jerry and Lisa are excellent teachers/ purchase microphones that can be attached to clothing – microphone not workable for speaker

Very well done. Two presenters complement each other very well. Thank you.

Great opportunity to learn about the subject.

It was excellent! Have more time about how we actually write a manuscript.